

## HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

### Officer Decision Record

<b>Decision Maker:</b>	Adrian Gray
<b>Title:</b>	Traffic Order : The Hampshire (Tower Street, Winchester) (Experimental Prohibition of Driving) Order 2023 (Revocation) Order 2023
<b>Reference:</b>	A1064b

**Tel:** 01962 846892

**Email:** [adrian.gray@hants.gov.uk](mailto:adrian.gray@hants.gov.uk)  
[Sandra.judd@hants.gov.uk](mailto:Sandra.judd@hants.gov.uk)

#### 1. The decision:

1.1. That a traffic order be implemented under Section 9 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (RTRA), the effects of which will be to :

- (i) revoke The Hampshire (Tower Street, Winchester) (Experimental Prohibition of Driving) Order 2023; and
- (ii) reinstate the provisions contained in The Hampshire (Tower Street, Winchester) (Prohibition of Driving) Order 2000 which is currently suspended by the order specified in (i) above

#### 2. Reasons for the decision:

2.1. Tower Street is subject to an existing prohibition of driving which is enforced by drop down bollards. At the end of Tower Street adjacent to the junction with Jewry Street the bollards are currently set some 10m back from the junction with Jewry Street into Tower Street, with the result that drivers enter the end of Tower Street and park on the double yellow lines this then obstructs vehicles undertaking authorised deliveries of equipment to the theatre.

An Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (ETRO) to prevent the obstructive parking and to assess the effect of the order with regard to traffic flows on Jewry Street was prepared and implemented on the 14<sup>th</sup> July.

It was understood that the four new removable bollards would be positioned on or around this date. Due to a delay in sourcing the correct bollards and sockets the programme has been significantly delayed and therefore the ETRO needs to be revoked and a new order issued to give the public 6 months of live experiment to comment/object.

2.2. Due consideration has been given to RTRA 1984 sections 122(1) and 122(2) and any other relevant legislation. In this case the Decision Maker acting on behalf of the Local Authority considers this TRO expeditious, for the convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic.

2.3 The decision outlined in paragraph 2.2 (above) to exercise the functions of the Local Authority under RTRA 1984 sections 122(1) and 122(2) has been reached on the basis of what is reasonably practicable after due regard has been given to the desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises.

**3. Other options considered and rejected:**

3.1. None

**4. Scheme Funding:**

4.1. Developer Contributions

**5. Conflicts of interest:**

5.1. None

**6. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:**

6.1. None

**7. Supporting information:**

7.1. Local Members, Councillor Adams-King and Councillor Hiscock support the proposal.

7.2. Police support the proposal.

7.3. Draft order, order to be revoked

**Approved by:**

*Adrian Gray*

**Date:**

**20/09/2023**

-----  
**Adrian Gray, Head of Highways (Traffic and Safety)**

**On behalf of the Director of Universal Services**