

# HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## Executive Decision Record

<b>Decision Maker:</b>	Executive Lead Member for Universal Services
<b>Date:</b>	20 May 2024
<b>Title:</b>	Project Appraisal: SCOLA Recladding at Crookhorn College, Henry Beaufort School and Hart Plain Infant School
<b>Report From:</b>	Director of Universal Services

**Contact name:** Max Whitlock

**Email:** Max.Whitlock@hants.gov.uk

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1 That the Executive Lead Member for Universal Services approves the Project Appraisal for SCOLA recladding at Crookhorn College, Henry Beaufort School and Hart Plain Infant School, as outlined in the supporting report.
- 1.2 That approval be given to procure, spend and enter into necessary contractual arrangements, in consultation with the Head of Legal Services, to implement the proposed SCOLA recladding together with associated works as set out in the supporting report to
  - Crookhorn College, at an estimated cost of £3.450m to be funded from School Condition Allocation
  - Henry Beaufort School, at an estimated cost of £3.480m to be funded from School Condition Allocation
  - Hart Plain Infant School, at an estimated cost of £1.400m to be funded from School Condition Allocation.
- 1.3 That authority to make the arrangements to implement the scheme, including minor variations to the design or contract, be delegated to the Director of Universal Services.
- 1.4 That authority is delegated to the Director of Universal Services, in consultation with the Head of Legal Services, to progress any orders, notices, or statutory procedures and secure any consents, licences, permissions, rights or easements necessary to enable implementation of this scheme.

### 2. Reason for the decision:

- 2.1 To improve the condition of the SCOLA buildings to bring them up to modern standards, to reduce maintenance costs and to provide fit for purpose spaces for education.

**3. Other options considered and rejected:**

- 3.1 Continued reactive maintenance of the buildings. This was rejected as the building components are life expired and need replacement.
- 3.2 Like for like replacement of windows, doors and roof coverings. This was rejected as it would not address environmental issues within the building including overheating, solar gain (the heat generated through absorption of sunlight), glare from the sun on screens and excessive heat loss. It would also have higher ongoing maintenance and running costs. This option wouldn't give the same level of climate change and climate adaptation mitigation, the same level of carbon reduction, and would not make the building fully 'heat pump ready' for any future addition of a low carbon heating solution.

**4. Conflicts of interest:**

- 4.1 Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:
- 4.2 Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:

**5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.**

**6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.**

**7. Statement from the Decision Maker:**

**Approved by:**

**Date:**

---

**Executive Lead Member for Universal Services  
Councillor Nick Adams-King**

**20 May 2024**