

# HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## Officer Decision Record

<b>Decision Maker:</b>	Adrian Gray
<b>Title:</b>	Traffic Order Proposals: The Hampshire (Various Bridges) (Weight Restriction) Order 2024
<b>Reference:</b>	A2037b

**Tel:** 01962 846892

**Email:** [Adrian.Gray@hants.gov.uk](mailto:Adrian.Gray@hants.gov.uk)  
[Mayur.Patel@hants.gov.uk](mailto:Mayur.Patel@hants.gov.uk)

### 1. The decision:

- 1.1. That a traffic order be implemented under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (RTRA), the effects of which will be to impose a 3t weight restriction on that length of Longstock Bridge No. B202 on Bunny Lane between a point 264 metres west of its junction with A343 Stockbridge Road and a point 10 metres west of that point.

*This order will revoke and reinstate the provisions contained in an existing order with no change of substance save for the addition described in the above paragraph.*

### 2. Reasons for the decision:

- 2.1. The order is proposed as the Longstock Brick No. 1 Bridge (B202) located on the U89 Bunny Lane has been assessed as only being capable of supporting 3t (or less) it is therefore necessary to implement a weight restriction to be put in place on it.
- 2.2. No objections or representations were received in response to the public consultation which took place between Friday 17<sup>th</sup> May 2024 and Friday 7<sup>th</sup> June 2024.
- 2.3. Due consideration has been given to RTRA 1984 sections 122(1) and 122(2) and any other relevant legislation. In this case the Decision Maker acting on behalf of the Local Authority considers this TRO expeditious, for the convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians).
- 2.4 The decision outlined in paragraph 2.3 (above) to exercise the functions of the Local Authority under RTRA 1984 sections 122(1) and 122(2) has been reached on the basis of what is reasonably practicable after due regard has been given to:
  - The importance of ensuring that only vehicles of a certain weight traverse structures with weight limitations.

**3. Other options considered and rejected:**

3.1. None

**4. Scheme Funding:**

4.1. Capital

**5. Conflicts of interest:**

5.1. None

**6. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:**

6.1. None

**7. Supporting information:**

7.1. Local Member, Councillor D. Drew supports the proposal.

7.2. Executive Member for Universal Services, Councillor Bowerman, supports the proposal.

7.3. Police – Traffic Management Officer Jeff Stone supports the proposal.

7.4. Draft Order and Plan

**Approved by:**

*Adrian Gray*

**Date:**

**7/7/2024**

-----  
**Adrian Gray, Head of Highways (Traffic and Safety).**

**On behalf of the Director of Universal Services**